

#7

**MAILED**

DEC 04 1997

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE  
GROUP 2400

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF  
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

James M. Smith  
HAMILTON BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS  
Two Militia Drive  
Lexington, MA 02173

In re Application of  
Heath et al.  
Application No. 08/707,622  
Filed: September 5, 1997  
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR  
AUTOMATIC APPLICATION  
VERSION UPGRADING  
AND MAINTENANCE

**DECISION ON PETITION  
TO MAKE SPECIAL**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102, filed November 18, 1997, to make the above-identified application special.

Petitioner requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, VIII.

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, VIII must be accompanied by (a) the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i), (b) a statement that all claims are directed a single invention or an offer to make an oral election without traverse should the Patent and Trademark Office hold that the claims are not directed to a single invention, (c) a statement that a pre-examination search has been made by the inventor, attorney, agent, professional searcher, etc., and a listing of the field of search by class and subclass, (d) one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims, and (e) a detailed description of the submitted references and discussions pointing out how the claimed subject matter is distinguishable over these references.

Since the above criteria have been meet, the petition is GRANTED.

The application file is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he/she should make a rigid search for such, he/she is

authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated.



Joseph L. Dixon  
Special Program Examiner  
Technology Center 2700